



# Pacific Beach Planning Group

[www.pbplanning.org](http://www.pbplanning.org)

**Pacific Beach Taylor Library**  
**4275 Cass Street, San Diego, CA 92109**  
**Wednesday, March 28, 2018: 6:30-8:30 pm**  
**MINUTES – Final**

---

## Item 1 - 6:33 **Call to Order, Quorum**

Members Present: Henish Pulickal, Baylor Triplett, Jason Legros, James McGuirk, Liz Segre, Steve Pruett, Kristen Victor, Karl Rand, Paula Gandolfo, Tony Franco, Ben Ryan, R.J. Kunysz, Eve Anderson, Jim Morrison, Renee Cookson

Members Absent: Chris Olson, Ed Gallagher, Michael Martin **(but maybe Michael wasn't on the board anymore at this point?)**

Late Arrivals: None recorded.

Early Departures: Seem to have left sometime before the vote in item 11-3a: Paula Gandolfo, Kristen Victor, R.J. Kunysz

Recorder of These Minutes: Liz Segre

## Item 2 – 6:34 **Non-Agenda Public Comments** (2 minutes maximum per speaker)

Issues not on Agenda and within the jurisdiction of Pacific Beach Planning Group.

Marcie Beckett – Announced PB Town Council's graffiti cleanup effort to be on April 28 from 9:00 am to noon.

Greg Danuoras – Introduced himself as president of PB Town Council and encouraged people to come to the meetings. Has been on PBPG in past (2009-2013).

Paula Gandolfo – Her subject was H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub>, meaning water and oxygen. Said the ocean is where we get our oxygen. Need to reduce carbon footprint to preserve this oxygen and water source.

Don Gross – De Anza mobile home shutdown. Not allowing any homes to leave. All hush hush at the City. He's been trying to get info about it. They're trying to hook up that area's sewer to golf course. Trying to put in 24 wells. There's a mess over there. Massacre in PB – someone got shot. PB community plan is long-winded document. Names are on there from '92 to '96 and how come ours aren't on there?

Baylor Triplett – This is his last PBPG meeting. Has been on the board for eight years. Joined because he wanted to provide a voice for people in PB who don't seem to have a voice. When he first joined, the group was divisive and unproductive and had trouble getting people to join. Now the group is much better and more productive. Very proud of what's happening with it.

## Item 3 – 6:45 **Current Agenda - Modifications and Approval**

Item 10 information item on the pipeline project will be postponed until after Labor Day.

Someone moved to approve the agenda. Someone seconded.

**APPROVED** – 14-0-1 (Pulickal abstained.)

Item 4 – 6:50 **February 28, 2018 Minutes - Modifications and Approval**

Minor modifications were discussed. Someone moved to approve the minutes with modifications. Someone seconded.

**APPROVED** – 13-0-2 (Pulickal abstained. Franco said he was abstaining because he wasn't at the Feb. meeting.)

Item 5 – 6:55 **PBPG Chair's Report (Information Item)**

Presenter: Henish Pulickal

Oakmont 3<sup>rd</sup> floor is location of our next meeting and will post agenda there. Library unavailable 4/9 through 4/30 because new roof being installed. May not have a lot of parking at Oakmont but Pulickal would find out. Victor said they may have plenty of parking if you call in advance and get code. Could park at library if there are free spots.

Jason Legros reported on Clean Air Conference and Alternative Energy Expo at the SD Convention Center two weeks previously. Found a group that does presentations on alternative energy such as solar and on new state laws about electrical vehicle stations at new developments. Will present to PBPG if that's OK.

Legros also attended CPC meeting, where there was a proposal to increase housing available to people in 100 to 150 percentage of median income (**this doesn't sound right – anyone have correct wording for this?**). Legros and others voted it down for variety of reasons, such as it would reduce parking spaces required for a home, and the bonus structure didn't make sense.

State Senator Scott Wiener from San Francisco is proposing some state bills to exempt developers from a variety of requirements within a half mile of mass transit stations. Voted this down at CPC meeting.

Also discussed at CPC: Code enforcement priorities index. Levels 1, 2, 3. (1 is most severe.) Parking, signs, range of things. Illegal dwellings, sidewalk cafes, trees, etc. Code enforcement informed college area they are no longer enforcing the code. If problems they will send a letter. Recommending that complainants go to the offenders themselves and work it out. Code Enforcement Dept. is broken (staffing, funding, etc.) CPC recommended a city audit of the department.

By the way, if we vote on a topic before CPC does, the chair must vote that way at CPC meeting. But can still present opposing point of view.

Item 6 – 7:00 **Councilmember Zapf Representative (Information Item)**

Presenter: Monique Tello

Restriping of Grand Avenue will occur tonight. Southbound buses will be moved to Hornblend and Garnet.

Repaving of Grand will be done during the day, to be completed in spring of 2019. Why restripe before paving?

Councilmember Zapf doesn't support bill SB 827 (the Scott Wiener transit-rich housing bonus bill mentioned above).

Don Gross, regarding solar energy: They will charge people more for electricity between 4 and 9 pm. People don't know about this. People won't want to do that, especially since they won't know why. Can Tello look into it?

Morrison: Asked last month about cost and design of pedestrian bridge from Jefferson Pacific to Mission Bay Park. California Department of Transportation would pay for the bridge and it wouldn't cost us a dime. Would like to find out

if Zapf's office forwarded it to the Design Dept. at City Hall. Tello asked Morrison to forward the email about it so she can look into it.

**Item 7 – 7:05 PB Community Updates and Parking Survey Presentation (Information Items)**

Presenters: Discover PB – Sara Berns, Beautiful PB

Victor: Beautiful PB is working on the Eco-District certification process. Now in the equity phase.

Sara Berns of Discover PB: Parking and transportation survey. Last year the advisory committee formulated the survey and collected the answers to it. Available June-Sept. 2017. Shared online, at libraries, at Discover PB offices. Hard copies and SurveyMonkey were used. More than 800 people completed it. Almost 100 local business owners. About 60 local employees. Most who responded were residents. Nearly 2/3 of the residents were renters.

(Later, Berns provided the slides she presented during this meeting. They are downloadable at <http://www.pbplanning.org/wp-content/uploads/Discover-PB-Parking-Survey-Results-2018.pptx> )

Not a lot of support for revenue sources to support community improvements.

Current plan is to bring the data to all the community groups. Next meeting is April 10 or 12. Advisory committee will create a task list.

The southwest quadrant has a vacancy. Elections coming up.

Audience member: Opposed to parking meters. They bring in more strangers, drinkers, more trash. Business owners don't want them. The ideal thing is to have maintenance assessment district so they pay for maintenance of parking.

Victor suggested the City's parking engineer see these survey results.

Legros asked if there has been a residential parking permit feasibility study, and Berns said it was in 2006. Nothing since then.

**Item 8 – 7:15 Balboa Ave Station Specific Plan Response (Information Item)**

Presenter: Michael Prinz

Prinz displayed a copy of the PBPG letter that requested more information on various points related to this project. **(I simply couldn't follow all of this. It was delivered very quickly. If there are vital points missing, let's try to add them if possible. - Liz)**

No new development can be higher than 30 feet without a vote of all SD voters. Bridge would need to be coordinated with SANDAG and Caltrans. Class 3 bike lane – they have identified an area. Eco-District checklist. Many of these items are in the building code. Some of the components have been included.

Legros: There are two routes planned: bikeway and bridge/tunnel structure? Prinz: Yes. Class 3 bike lane. There will be both.

Legros: Has there been a look at combining access to bridge/tunnel with ped crossing? Because that's where our PB bike pathway is. Prinz: No, that wasn't included as a recommendation. Legros: Maybe worth considering, to save money – one project instead of two. Prinz: Not aware of Morrison's inquiry about the bridge over Mission Bay Drive.

Cookson regarding Rose Creek: Is there possibility of City picking it up under waste management system to keep it clean? Prinz: Storm water department is responsible for maintenance of Rose Creek. Our focus is on long-range plan for Rose Creek.

Gandolfo: Will Rose Creek operations yard be developed for residential? Prinz: No. It is zoned for industrial. No plans for development there.

Victor sees opportunity with the trolley for the universities to transport students. There are smart growth grants for SANDAG. Suggests starting feasibility study for the pedestrian bridge. Then we can identify funding for this bridge. Can Zapf get the study funded? Right now, you're sending everyone down Balboa Ave. to the busiest intersection in San Diego. Don't think it's a viable safe option to get over the freeway. Would recommend the feasibility study to start immediately.

Gross: This is a mess. There isn't any progress at all on the points in the PBPG letter. The railroad is doing what they know how to do, period.

Item 9 – 7:41 **Mid-Coast Trolley Updates (Information Item)**

Presenters: Pete D'Ablaing and Kristen Byrne

Presenters did not attend this meeting.

Item 10 – 7:42 **PB Pipeline South Replacement Project Informational Presentation (Information Item)**

Presenter: Nirvana Ward

Presentation will take place in the future.

Item 11 – 7:43 **CRMS (Action Items)**

Presenter: Karl Rand

Rand: This month is unusual – we aren't reviewing any development projects.

1. Smoking Products Ordinance Proposal (Info Item), Presenter: Manual Andrade

*a. Motion to regulate smoke-shops, vape shops and hookah lounges to prohibit new businesses from operating within 1,000 feet from schools and other centers where youth congregate (libraries, parks, rec. centers etc.)*

Andrade is with SAY (Social Advocates for Youth) San Diego. Said we need to restrict use of tobacco products within 1,000 feet of schools. In last five years seeing epidemic of synthetic drugs. Overdosing among youth and homeless. Fights breaking out at the weed stores. Tobacco kills. More than from alcohol and obesity.

Seeing lot of water pipes such as hookahs, shisha, etc. Also synthetic marijuana, liquid THC, etc. Also cigarillos, chews, and dips.

Presented statistics on youth smoking.

E-cigarette liquid: toxic ingredients in any flavor you can imagine, even bubblegum.

Poison control center getting lots of calls.

Did 20 face-to-face interviews with SD unified school district staff. All agree that many retail locations popping up all over the community. Definite increase in e-cigarettes as well as vape pens. (Youth call it juicing.)

Students post and brag about use of these drugs on social media. Kids get their knowledge about the safety or lack thereof from their friends. They don't know about the dangers. Kids think the products will relieve stress.

Many parents are using the products, which is normalizing usage. Others are clueless.

Support exists to prohibit new tobacco businesses from opening within 1000 feet of youth-serving places.

Compared with cigarettes, hookah exposes users to more toxins and other bad substances during a smoking session.

95 percent of hookah lounges share common walls with other businesses/ homes. 44 percent have prepared food inside (against the law).

There are a few very problematic hookah lounges, most likely because they close at 4:00 am. Crime.

Andrade showed a map of locations less than 600 feet from schools in PB.

Asked to let him know if other groups want him to come and present. Received round of applause from attendees.

2. Los Altos Reservoir Sale and Zoning Issues (Action Item), Presenters: Greg Nelson and Soledad Terrace residents.

*a. Motion to have the Los Altos Reservoir property rezoned from RS-1-4 to RS-1-2 prior to, or in conjunction with, any sale of that property by the city. Subcommittee approves 3-1.*

7:58 pm –

Greg Nelson is member of the Soledad Terrace Homeowners Association and presented.

Last week they met with CRMS subcommittee and got approval/support. Asking for that from the general board.

Showed a map. 20,000 sf lots. Showed slide of zoning.

Ingress/egress limited to Los Altos Road (to the reservoir).

In 1979 the water utilities dept. assessed its options should the reservoir be shut down and the property or portion of it be available for disposal and if so would there be an appropriate zoning change if any. PBPG recommended rezoning. Max Treece submitted a petition to rezone from r-1-10 to r-1-20. City Planning Dept. decided to do nothing. In 2017 City decided to sell.

Nelson showed photos of all the vehicles that were parked on the side of the road for two remodel projects. Very crowded. The rezoning would create crowded situation.

The neighborhood is requesting support from PBPG to rezone from r-1-2 (formerly r-1-20) from the current r-1-4 designation.

Rand: At first we were skeptical of these neighbors' problem. We spent a lot of time probing the issues, and this is one of the rare occasions when people's minds were changed. Victor did a lot to explore the issues.

Victor: I suggested idea of one-way street and also sidewalks for safety because there are none for people to walk their dogs, etc. I believe this down-zoning would be in line with the character of the neighborhood.

Audience member: Sidewalks would take up too much footage on each side. I've almost been hit three times coming out of my driveway. People would be forced to park on our street (guests, whatever).

Rand: This is an example of why a lot of our suggestions might not work to help.

Pruett: This brings home fact that you have to go up there and walk around to assess the situation.

Morrison: Would like to make a motion about this.

Kunysz: I commend you on this initiative and keep the pressure up to change the zoning. I think one way street is a good idea. But you're still going to have parking issues – it will just go in one direction.

McGuirk: I feel for you in this community but I don't understand why the down-zoning is necessary. Looked at the reasons for not doing this earlier. The southern portion of this community is zoned for 20,000 but the other part is for smaller lots. 10,000 sf lots are huge. I spent time in the neighborhood but don't see the problem.

Pulickal: Whats the argument against? Answer: City is about getting the max price for the property based on its current zoning.

Another audience member talked about a gated community where you can include the road in the acreage of the lots. That's probably a reasonable development. But this will be a density driven thing because of the zoning. Since 1979 when this group endorsed the down-zoning, 8 homes went up. And another 12 nearby. All the traffic has to go through to the park, and during holidays that road is filled with cars. A lot of the houses have been upgraded from 2 bedroom bungalows to large homes. The homes are close to the street and that's why there are no sidewalks.

Legros: Arguments to keep zoning as-is have merit. But zoning consistency is the point here. And it's isolated. Otherwise, why not up-zone it to multifamily residential and put apartment buildings there?

McGuirk: if you look at the zoning map, rs-1-4 is adjacent to the other up-zoned area.

Victor: They are two different heights geographically. Two different slopes. So 21 houses in the buildable area is...

Developer dropped the estimate to 16 homes because not all the land is buildable. Paid \$11 million.

Rand: Besides the money the City wants to receive, their policy is to increase density. We're in the middle here between City policy and what the neighbors want. So it's not just about the money. It's about the policy too.

Anderson: You were referring to the Rancho Soledad nursery. But that land is flat and has sidewalks. Well developed and fits into the neighborhood. But this development is steep and I can see that reservoir area from my street. Four people changed their minds about this. The photo of all the trucks parked on the street is very telling.

Triplett: You're asking for just the zoning change? I'm torn.

Audience member: One issue is safety. I have kids that play there and others do too. But another question: In PB can we just save some land?

Renee: I agree with what your committee decided.

Morrison moved to rezone prior to any sale of the property by the City. Rand seconded.

**APPROVED** – 10-4-1 (Pulickal abstained.)

Triplett voted no because satisfied with current zoning.

Ryan voted no because the minimum lot size of 20,000 sf is too much.

McGuirk voted no because of cost to City and because of our housing shortage.

Franco voted no because of our housing shortage.

### 3. Short Term Vacation Rentals Proposal (Action Item), Presenter: San Diego Coalition of Town Councils.

#### *a. Motion to support the coalition's proposal for STVR and join coalition. (No subcommittee vote)*

*Per request from audience, Kristen Victor and Karl rand recused themselves since they own property used as vacation rental.*

Ann Kerr Bache presented. She is chair of Short Term Vacation Rentals Working Group and La Jolla Town Council president. The working group is open to all organizations, not just town councils. They want SD community voices to be heard.

She went through the 2017 history of what happened on STVRs in San Diego. Tasked Elyse Lowe to “find 5 vote solution.” She invited the group to provide their recommendations. The Committee on Smart Cities and Growth will be reviewing the recommendations and making their own. In late April there should be a committee public hearing. Council vote in May.

Framework: Permit first. Then the permitted places need monitoring. Impose good neighbor policy, enforce, then impose a penalty. There needs to be an enterprise fund. \$900 for a permit is not realistic to fund enforcement.

Focus on fair and enforceable regulations. The City attorney doesn't enforce existing regulations. So incorporate good neighbor policies. Inside the unit, the good neighbor policy means they should post the regulations for tenants.

San Francisco is best model for San Diego – no need to reinvent the wheel. SF developed comprehensive ordinance but didn't have enough to enforce. So separate group sued and had them require Airbnb and the other “platforms” to enforce.

There's an administrative office for permits and a hotline. La Jolla has Nextdoor, and you can broadcast problems. But by the time the police responds to quality of life crimes, the bachelor party is over and people are gone. Proposed penalties:

\$500 first offense

\$2,500 second offense

Third violation: revoke permit.

## Proposals:

Limit STVRs to primary residence only. Impose limits on number of STVRs in a community. (Leave the number up to the community.) Determination of appropriate limits should give strong weight to recommendations from the communities affected. For example, 1 to 25 percent to preserve our neighborhood character and quality of life, while acknowledging the desire to home share. Home sharing not a problem. You aren't in STVR category.

Limit proximity of STVRs to between 50 and 500 feet. Where this limit is currently exceeded, grandfather only existing STVR operators who have been paying business fees and licenses. STVR permits become void when property ownership changes.

Cathy Jolley: Can't fathom that City could enforce these various numbers of STVRs in various areas. Bache: Maybe do it by zip code. Haven't determined how this could be tracked. The point is that this is a big problem in the SD area in many communities with many people affected and we need to find solutions.

When first proposed that we use San Francisco model, Mara Elliott said it wasn't enforceable. But it's a federal issue.

Pulickal asked about primary residence rule if owner is away. Bache: Need a property manager to be able to respond to problems within an hour.

Pulickal: What about number of days a year you can rent it? Bache: 90 days would be total number of days you can rent.

Ryan: Less than or equal to 30 days is definition of STVRs. Home exchanges are not what we're worried about.

Last year in Bird Rock they lost 50 students at the elementary school because of STVRs.

Triplett: Home sharing is not affected. So how can I do a home share for two weeks and not pay for a permit?

Franco: There are companies here in town who specialize in short term vacation rentals. They don't actually need training or a real estate license. I find that interesting.

Bache: SD is the fourth largest market for stvrs in the U.S.

Triplett: I would like you to address that two week question.

Legros: I don't think your proposal is restrictive enough. We don't need STVRs. Home sharing is fine. STVRs are not necessary.

Pulickal: What are you requesting from us tonight? Bache: Wanted to expose you to our work and our experience with the mayor and the City. We want you to consider our framework for an ordinance. Don't let the best be the enemy of the good. The hotels and motels are interested in what we are proposing.

Pulickal: STR Helper – This is a resource to consult about enforcement. Bache: That's really up to the City when they consider enforcement. Pulickal: But they are willing to talk to you and help.

Bache: We're trying to formulate a proposal that we can present downtown and send them the message that they can't get away with what they pulled last year.



Ryan: Suggest putting sign in the window with name and phone number. Or accessible database to find out the name and contact info for the owner.

Bache: Platform accountability requires that, plus violations. And use Get It Done app. Better to have owner respond in an hour and if not then get a penalty – than to have police enforce.

McGuirk: Can I keep permit always? Bache: No – if you sell the property you lose the permit.

Morrison: You're proposing controls and regulations. It's fine work. Appreciate that.

Pruett: Huge difference between your proposal and our document. Our document would allow non-resident ownership.

Bache: But I thought your 2015 letter was saying to enforce existing regulations.

Anderson: Who is doing the enforcing? Code Enforcement doesn't do it. Police can't. Bache: We need an enterprise fund. You might need to seed that fund in the beginning. Maybe get Airbnb to kick in. Anderson: I do approve of the enforcement proposals. But choosing how many to allow in neighborhoods sounds like a can of worms. Let's look at who has paid their taxes. Bache: Neighborhood Watch can do a lot. Anderson: I have no neighbors anymore. David Alvarez is the problem – trying to pass this without owner occupancy.

**9:26. Pruettt moved to extend the meeting by 30 minutes. Legros seconded. All approved.**

Morrison: I move to endorse this working group and become a signer on their recommendations.

Nobody seconded, so no motion was put to a vote.

Pruett moved and Ryan seconded to re-endorse our 2015 letter of recommendations.

Audience member named Chris wants no STVRs (lives next to one and it's horrible), but he thinks it's most realistic to have a backup position ready to help formulate what will really be decided. The San Francisco plan turned out so great.

Marcie Beckett: We're giving away the farm. When you give in a little bit, they take it all. We just need one more vote. There must be a way to get Alvarez.

Bill Vale: Has raised kids in PB and owns rental property here. There's not enough rental property. There are four STVRs near him and one is owned by people in London. A lot of this won't be enforceable. But if we start giving into whole-house rentals, we can't go back. For decades on our street, neighbors would have home sharing and it went fine. But whole home rentals are what is causing most of the problems. We found out with alcohol on the beach: you can pound a round peg in a square hole but you'll damage both. Worried about the younger generation who will never be able to buy a home. I like your policy and where you're headed, but you're giving up too much.

A vote was taken on the motion to re-endorse the PBPG 2015 letter of recommendation.

**APPROVED** – 9-2-1 (Pulickal abstained.)

Yes votes: Cookson, Anderson, Legros, Ryan, McGuirk, Morrison, Pruettt, Segre, Rand

Triplettt voted no because it was too restrictive.

Franco voted no because we have a housing shortage in general and a lack of affordable housing.

Triplett made a motion to support the working group in spirit. There was no second, so this motion was not put to a vote.

Pulickal tabled the discussion. Bache encouraged us to send that letter the day after the meeting.

Item 12 – 9:51 **Dockless Bikes and Scooter Panel (Action Item)**

Presenters:

LimeBike – Khoa Nguyen

Ofo – C. Stefan Winkler - Head of External Affairs – West

Bird Scooter – Carl Hansen was slated to present, but instead Clarissa Falcon presented.

*Motion to provide recommendations to the city to address the issues.*

THIS WAS MOVED to below the Streets and Sidewalks item noted below.

Ofo presenter: – Not as many of the yellow bikes here. That’s a conscious decision – want to talk to everyone first.

Cookson: Have seen a huge increase in the bikes and scooters. Not as concerned about the bikes but more about the scooters. They’re everywhere. We are inundated. And I don’t see a lot of safety with them.

**At 9:57 – Pulickal proposed a 15 minute extension. Legros so moved. McGuirk seconded. Approved by all 11 remaining regular members (Franco, Triplett, Rand, Legros, Ryan, McGuirk, Morrison, Pruett, Anderson, Segre, Cookson). Pulickal abstained.**

Bird presenter: We’ve been in contact with the mayor and City. There is safety info in the apps. Regarding people letting children on the scooters: On the app you show a driver’s license, first time you use the app. Parents are letting their kids use them. And without helmets. You can order a free helmet on your app.

Anderson: How many scooters in PB? Bird presenter: Don’t know actual number but started with 60. Then in a week and a half up to 140 (first week of February). In SD markets there are about 1,000 now (Mission Beach, PB, North Park, downtown).

Anderson: Our police are ticketing. Money is coming in and also it’s for safety. How many Limebikes are there?

Limebike presenter didn’t know, but the number is available from the general manager.

Anderson: The complaints are twofold: safety and also they are left everywhere. Limebike presenter: They should be picked up regularly. You can move them.

Ofo presenter: Don’t have any of our bikes in this area. Only a few, and they came from elsewhere.

Pruett: We should cap the number of each so we can understand how big the issue is for these problems.

Bird presenter: Interested in looking at this too. Folks are using these dockless vehicles. Understand there are safety concerns. But also received a lot of positive feedback. Re: litter: Bird removes the scooters by 9:00 every night because they must be recharged. And repositioned every morning by 7:00.

Limebike presenter: Trying to get more into the City because the demand is so high. 21,000 riders so far and 55,000 trips. Nobody makes money if the bikes are lying around not being used. Trying to get a handle on the usage so they can determine the real demand.

Pulickal: Every time I see one of these, it's one less auto, less Uber, less parking spot. We have 700 bikes. On Garnet we have bike parking. Nowhere else. We have a lack of infrastructure to park bikes, plus the risk of our owned bikes being damaged or stolen. We could be using small easements here and there to park.

Morrison: With GPS you can find out where bikes are. Can you penalize people who leave them in bad spots? Ofo presenter: You can collect trend info on the users and figure out who's generally responsible and who isn't.

McGuirk: How long is the average trip? Ofo presenter: We stayed out of here so far but plan to be more in the transit system areas. Limebike presenter: Too early to know those specific numbers yet. But in one area 50 percent of rides were about a mile. Bird presenter: Averaging about a mile and a half.

**Item 13 – 9:45 Election of New Board Members (Information Item)**

Presenter: Steve Pruett

Election was for areas B, D, G. Vote counts:

B – 3

D – 5

G – 11 for Pulickal, 2 for Jena Stucker

We have 8 positions open. 5 are residential. 3 are commercial. If someone wants to fill an open position, then contact Steve Pruett.

Subcommittee chairs open: Code Compliance (Legros will run again for another tract). Secretary (will need another one for the April meeting).

Cookson said she was available for technology position.

Streets and Sidewalks: Morrison volunteered.

In April, if people get their petitions in we can vote them in as a board.

**Item 14 – 9:50 Code Compliance (Information Item)**

Presenter: Jason Legros

No presentation.

**Item 15 – Special Events (Information Items)**

Presenters: Ed Gallagher, Michael Martin

No presentation.

**Item 16 – 9:10 Streets and Sidewalks Subcommittee (Info Items)**

Presenter: Henish Pulickal (Open Chair Position)

a. Denial of feasibility study for one-way traffic on Garnet

Our community plan says we need to increase pedestrian friendliness. If we are denied by City, we can appeal. Filed an appeal this morning for the Eco-Blok project we denied last year.

Item 17 – 9:20 **EcoDistrict Subcommittee (Info Items)**

Presenter: Kristen Victor

No presentation.

Item 18 – 9:25 **Other Subcommittees and Reports (Time Permitting)**

Pacific Beach Community Parking District: Open Chair

Communications/Tech: Baylor Triplett

No presentations.

Item 19 – 10:15 **Adjournment**

**Next PBPG Meeting:** Wednesday, April 25, 2018, 6:30-8:30 PM

\*If additional accessible accommodations need to be made, please contact the Chairperson, Henish Pulickal at [henish.pulickal@gmail.com](mailto:henish.pulickal@gmail.com) or 858.380.8765\*