



Henish Pulickal <henish.pulickal@gmail.com>

Fwd: 18.05.30-Motions-Approved-1.pdf

1 message

Eve & Greg Anderson <eanderson4@san.rr.com>
To: Henish Pulickal <henish.pulickal@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 5, 2018 at 12:23 AM

Henish, I recall seeing perhaps a half-dozen motions made by the board at the last meeting. They were concise and sensible. Where did this new, long document come from? Is this an interpretation of those motions?

I'd like to object to changing the 30' height limit in any way. Voters in 1972 clearly wanted to avoid high-rises like the Catamaran ("No Miami Beach!") in the beach area. When we arrived in 1973, people were still upset—it was a very bitter battle.

Any change in the height limit would require another vote of the whole city and it would be divisive. It would also lead to other requests to change the height limit, something that PBPG should avoid at all costs.

Additionally, there's consideration of low and middle income housing: Much in that area near Bond Street is composed of such housing, both single family homes and apartments. Replacing it with new construction would displace residents, with substantially more expensive housing. I would approve new housing along Mission Bay Drive, which is your T.O.D. Extending into the neighborhood at this time, with traffic often at a stand-still during several times a day, is not what Pacific Beach needs.

The items to be voted on clearly enumerate the current traffic and infrastructure problems, specifically the danger to pedestrians heading to the new trolley station. No additional housing should be built until those problems are resolved.

Thank you,
Eve Anderson

<http://www.pbplanning.org/wp-content/uploads/18.05.30-Motions-Approved-1.pdf>